Report Form:  
National Officer Visit to State Society (also included in Tab E)

Please complete electronically (if possible) and return to: NSPE Executive Office, Attention: Nancy Oswald (noswald@nspe.org), NSPE, 1420 King Street, Alexandria, VA 22314-2794; Fax 703/519-3763. The report should be submitted within three weeks of your state society visit and is to be received before expenses can be reimbursed.

NSPE Officer       Robert S. Miller, III

State Society Visited: Idaho

Meeting Date/Location: March 22-24, 2007/Boise, ID

Who met with: Idaho Executive Committee and Membership

COMPLETE THIS BOX ONLY IF you carried out a president-assigned state society visit. You may skip if you did an regional director state outreach visit.

Number of attendees at State Meeting: 38

Social Events attended: No host reception

- Did state society cover your expenses?   X YES   ☐ NO partial
- Did you install officers?   X YES   ☐ NO
- Did you address the Board of Directors?   X YES   ☐ NO
- Did you attend Executive Committee meeting?   X YES   ☐ NO

Please describe the important issues raised by state leaders/members that need to be relayed to the NSPE Board. Note any issues that you feel require the Board’s immediate attention.

Attached are minutes of last year’s meeting and agenda for this year. They do not have a Young Engineer on their board, but want to be sure to have them involved. Thus, I have written the Indiana SPE President to suggest just that.

Please list any presentations made (e.g., keynote, membership workshop, etc.) and NSPE resources used (e.g., officer talking points, PowerPoint presentations, Membership Toolkit, etc.)

Presented to the Executive Committee, the Board of Directors and the general membership.

I also participated in the discussions with the representatives of the Idaho Licensure Board.
Please list any successful state and chapter programs that you heard about and that you feel would provide a valuable model for other states/chapters.

Great meeting. Excellent continuing education program, even though Idaho does not currently require CPD. Having the state licensure board members at the meeting, and giving ample time to discuss its uses, was extremely beneficial.

Please list the names and phone numbers of members that you met who should be considered for service on national committees and task forces. Please explain briefly why you are recommending the individual (e.g., He/she developed an outstanding recruitment program that brought in 50 new members. Or He/she showed outstanding leadership qualities and creative ideas at a particular meeting/session.) Also indicate which committee the individual should serve on.

Attached
State Executive Julie Wall is terrific.
State officers and committee chairs/PD were excellent

Did you hear of any major issues related to the governance and operations of the state that NSPE should be aware of? If so, please describe.

1. CPD is coming in the next ±3 years (15 PDH every year).
2. There was an issue with the Pacific Northwest Economic Region to resolve comity between US states and Canadian provinces. This might be sent forward using 8 years history as a licensed professional with no bad marks as a baseline.
3. Multiple failures of the license exam have resulted in looking at setting a ceiling at sitting twice, then requiring additional education plus a wait. They think some people are taking the exam to get the problems to sell to others.
4. Discussed BS + 30 issue.
5. They are working on an issue “Standard of Care” for professional service based on inadequate or incomplete work by consultants and agencies are seeing.

Please indicate any newsworthy or image-enhancing events, programs, projects, or personalities that should be forwarded to the NSPE Publications Department for consideration as a news or feature story.

Having the state licensure board meet with the membership is newsworthy.

Other comments on your visit.

Everyone should visit Idaho.

Signature Date: 4/4/2007
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INTRODUCTORY BUSINESS

Call To Order:
The meeting was called to order at approximately 5:37 PM

Attendance:

Ken Hasfurther President
Joe Canning Secretary Treasurer
Nestor Fernandez Regional Director
Steve Loop Past President
Larry Comer Northern Chapter Director
Jim Baker President Elect
Lynn Olson Southwest Chapter Director

Seating of Acting Directors
None

Minutes of Previous Meeting
Motion was made to accept the June 13, 2006 meeting minutes. Motion was seconded and approved unanimously.

Revision to the Agenda
Addition of discussion of proposed revisions to ISPE bylaws and NSPE Fellow Nominations under Unfinished Business.

OFFICER REPORTS

President: Kenneth Hasfurther
Ken submitted the following written report:

NSPE Annual Meeting in Boston, MA
I attended meetings on July 6 through July 8. Also the Western Region meeting the morning of July 9.

I had a good vacation during this trip, but was disappointed with the Annual Meeting. I talked with another attendee on the way to the Airport, and he felt similarly. The “networking” was fine, but the sessions held didn’t do much for our State Society. Hopefully, the House of Delegates meeting that Nestor attended was more fruitful.

The good news is that our Western Region appears to be strong and functional. A meeting will be held in March or April 2007 in Las Vegas for this group.

On the National level, NSPE is now looking for a new Executive Director. Thanks Julie, for sending the email notification to ISPE members.

W&P Region meeting was very good; the region is strong and had good attendance at the meeting. NSPE people did take up meeting time with their reports. Regional budget is on track. Ken encourages support of the region.

Regional bylaws were discussed and some minor changes were made. Forrest Braun is the Chair of the Region. The next NSPE meeting will be in Denver, Colorado.

President Elect: Jim Baker
No report.
2.3 Secretary Treasurer: Joe Canning
Through Oct 24, 2006 - Receipts - $5,651.44; it is early in the year for dues; Expenses - $10, 910.99; check book balance - $6,547.71; Savings - $4,079.31; CD - $5,013.07; CD - $5,151.69; Total assets - $20,791.78. There is nothing unusual so far this year.

2.3 Secretary Treasurer (Cont’d)
For last fiscal year 2005-2006, the projected loss was $5,165.00 and the actual loss was $9,033.02. The two major areas that contributed most to the larger than budgeted loss include Officer Travel which was budgeted at $2,800 and had an actual expense of $3,995.86 and Dues which came in at 75% of budget. This was probably due to the changes in dues distribution because of NSPE’s system change and problems they are having. Dues for the first quarter of 2006-07 are significantly higher than in previous years. We will be keeping an eye on this issue as the year progresses.

2.4 Regional Director: Nestor Fernandez
Nestor reported that he had an interesting trip to Boston. The next meeting will be July 27-29, 2007 in Denver. The next Western & Pacific Regional meeting will be in Las Vegas. Regarding the House of Delegates, the inaugural meeting was held with good attendance. The meeting was on July 11. They elected the President for 2008-09 – Ken Rigsby. Elected NSPE Director at Large – Ed Racila. Seating was alphabetical by state, so they were not really close to other W & P Region states. There was a big discussion about an “action list” to see how NSPE would move to accomplish goals. Presentations were made at the meeting including the Minnesota Society who had concerns about the AMS data base. A tellers committee was appointed so they could vote for President-Elect. Voting was computerized/electronic. There was training about what their function would be. They passed the NSPE Strategic Plan. There were reports from officers. At the board of directors meeting one of the hot topics was the AMS and problem of not getting money to the states.

2.5 Jr. Regional Director: Greg Brands
No report.

2.6 Past President: Stephen Loop
No action pending what needs to be done regarding the bylaws; that is still on hold waiting for NSPE.

2.7 Office Manager: Julie Wall
Julie submitted the following written report:
Things continue “business as usual”. I have secured space at the Oxford Suites in Boise for the 2007 Annual Meeting on March 22 and 23, 2007.

3.0 COMMITTEE REPORTS
3.1 Annual Meeting
No Report.

3.2 Audit
Motion was made to appoint Jim Baker as Chairman of the Audit Committee. Motion was seconded and approved unanimously.

3.3 Awards
No report.

3.4 Critical Infrastructure and Homeland Security (CIHS)
Jim Szatkowski submitted the following written report:
I continue to participate in the State Agencies Emergency Coordinator Working Group as an Emergency Coordinator (EC) for the Department of Administration. I’ve used this position to insert into the discussions several times that the BHS should document which organizations can be used to support a major disaster declaration (natural or man-made) with professionals such as engineers. I have also been re-appointed to both the NSPE CIHS Task Force and the NSPE Public Relations committee for 2006-7.

3.5 EWeek/PR/Media
No report.
3.6 Future City
Bill Holder submitted the following written report:
We have 27 schools registered so it looks like it will be another successful regional competition. We are actively looking for mentors for a few more teams and volunteers to help with judging. The southwest chapter of ISPE is once again a title sponsor of the competition. We would like to thank ISPE for their continued support. Based upon the support that the State society has provided the Idaho Future City Competition, ISPE is entitled to sponsor a special award. Please think about this and decide upon an award category so that we can inform the teachers and students that are participating in this year’s competition.

3.7 ISPE Foundation
Jim Reppell submitted the following written report:
The foundation board will be meeting again in November. The annual solicitation of ISPE members will be sent out at the end of November.

3.8 Legislative Affairs
No Report.

3.9 MATHCOUNTS
Jim Reppell submitted the following written report:
The school packages have been sent to all schools in the state that have a 7th or 8th grade, and to all schools that participated last year. So far, 13 schools have registered, which is pretty typical for this date.

Coordinators are on board for all 5 chapter competition locations. Contact information and competition dates are being maintained on the MATHCOUNTS web page that is linked to the ISPE home page.

3.10 Membership
Lynn Olson submitted the following written report:
I followed up with a letter to those that passed the PE exam in April following our first morning exam day events. Not sure if this has resulted in any new membership or not. We are again planning on having coffee, water, and donuts provided to those taking the PE and EIT exam the end of October in Boise and are looking for volunteers interested in showing up ahead of the exams and offering the examinees some hot coffee and words of encouragement. We are always looking for new ways to get young members interested and open to suggestions. Will follow-up with letters to new PES and examinees ahead of the EWeek banquet. Plan to work with Leandra Aburusa-Lete and BSU to encourage more interaction with BSU engineering department activities and would look for similar opportunities at ISU and UI.

3.11 Nominations
Steve Loop as Past President will be Chair.

3.12 Professional Development
No Report.

3.13 QBS
No report. ISPE has sent its QBS contribution for the year.

3.14 Public Works Contractor's Licensing Task Force
No report.

3.15 Idaho Subdivision Law Task Force
No report.

4.0 DIRECTORS REPORTS

4.1 Northern
Larry Comer submitted the following written report:
I have attached the mailing notice and Agenda for our “Standard of Care” Roundtable Workshop (see attached pages below), which the North Idaho Section is sponsoring on November 3rd in Post Falls. We have invited all registrants and EITs from Moscow north to this program. Dave Curtis and 2 PE/PLS Board members will also be participating. This roundtable discussion is intended to be the first of several steps in our area for ISPE to help “raise the bar” in professional engineering standard of practice in our region.

We can report on the success of this session later to the ISPE officers. We intend to appoint a working committee to evaluate possible remedies this winter and we will hold a “now what” follow up session in the spring of 2007. We will be video taping this session if someone else in ISPE would want to view the program this winter.

The board commends the Northern Chapter for setting up this Roundtable Workshop.

4.2 Magic Valley
No report.
4.3 Southeast
No report.

4.4 Southwest
Lynn Olson submitted the following written report:
The first monthly SW Chapter meeting was held on September 19th. Craig Quintana, ACHD spokesman, gave a great talk before a record crowd of nearly 50. He discussed changes in the organizational structure of ACHD and provided updates on both the Three Cities Crossing Project and the ACHD vs. City of Boise court case regarding Ustick Road. A second meeting was just held on October 17th with David Curtis, the Executive Director of the Idaho Board of Professional Engineers and Professional Land Surveyors, updating the Chapter on the proposed legislation for the January of 2007 Legislature.

4.4 Southwest (Cont’d)
Planning is underway for the 2007 EWeek Luncheon with a preliminary date set for the week of February 19th-23rd. A speaker has not been locked down yet but we hope to have one soon.

Future City activity is going strong with more than 25 schools now registered to compete at the 3rd Idaho Regional Future City Competition on January 6th, 2007 at Boise State University. Engineer mentors are still needed for approximately 8 teams and recruiting of volunteers and judges is underway.

Earl Eiben with B&A Engineers is the new Southwest Chapter MATHCOUNTS Chairman for 2007. Planning is underway for the Southwest Chapter Competition to be held on February 24th at Boise State.

EWeek luncheon date will probably be Friday, February 23, 2007.

5.0 PRACTICE DIVISION REPORTS
5.1 ACEC of Idaho
No report.

5.2 PEG
No report.

5.3 PEE
No report.

5.4 PEC
No report.

5.5 PEI
No report.

6.0 UNFINISHED BUSINESS
Space has been booked at the Oxford Suites in Boise for the meeting. This includes meeting room space and a block of sleeping rooms. Ken feels that at this time, the Magic Valley Chapter would not be able to get enough support to put together the meeting program. Ken will contact a couple of people in the Magic Valley Chapter that might be willing to help and follow up in about three weeks. Jim Baker and Lynn Olson will assist from Boise on the meeting committee. Some potential ideas for the meeting program were discussed including the suggestion that a session with the IBPEPLS could fill half of a day.

6.2 NSPE Fellow Nominations
The application process can be quite lengthy. The amount of time needed to put the application together probably discourages some from submitting. Steve Loop would be willing to help anyone with the process if they would like. If anybody knows of anyone that might be interested, the forms are available. ISPE will send information out in the Friday Update and Steve will target individuals as well.

6.3 Revision to ISPE Bylaws
Issue is tabled until NSPE-finalizes their bylaws.

7.0 NEW BUSINESS
No new business at this time.

8.0 ADJOURNMENT
Motion was made to adjourn the meeting. Motion was seconded and approved unanimously. Meeting was adjourned at approximately 7:15 PM.
2.3 Secretary Treasurer – Julie Wall for Joe Canning
In Joe’s absence, he has asked that I provide a brief budget summary.

The ISPE fiscal year is three fourths completed for the year. Receipts so far have totaled $24,502.79 (57.8% of yearly budget). Expenses have totaled $28,939.97 (63.3% of the yearly total).

Our current checking account balance is $7,370.08. Our current savings account balance is $4,096.10. Our active Certificate of Deposits is $10,264.32. Cash assets total $21,730.50.

2.7 Office Manager – Julie Wall
Things are business as usual. Recent activities include elections, Engineers Week activities and the 2007 ISPE Annual Meeting. Continue with the e-mail newsletter the “Friday Update” and maintaining the ISPE web site.

3.7 ISPE Foundation – Jim Reppell
The ISPE membership solicitation for MATHCOUNTS generated $1,590, distributed as follows:
- Magic Valley: $300
- North: $220
- Southeast: $250
- Southwest: $15
- State: $805

Additional major donations were:
- Micron Foundation: $1,000
- Hewlett Packard: $1,000
- IFA Systems: $500

Ballots listing foundation board nominees were included with the state ISPE officer ballots.

3.9 MATHCOUNTS – Jim Reppell
All 5 chapter competitions and the state competition have been held successfully. Our thanks go to all of the members that volunteered to help. The program in Idaho set another participation record this year with 433 students from 65 schools registering. (This was up from 391 students at 64 schools last year.)

At the state competition, North Junior High School of Boise won the team competition, and Reed Johnson of Fruitland Middle School won the individual competition. The Idaho team competing at the national competition in May will consist of one mathlete each from the North and Southeast Chapters and two from the Southwest Chapter.

3.10 Membership – Lynn Olson
The main recruitment activities have been to make those taking the PE and EIT exams aware of the organization by providing a display and refreshments at the licensing exams in Boise each April and October and then following up with a letter and membership application for ISPE and NSPE to those that passed the PE Exam. I still need to follow-up with those that passed the exam in October and we will be organizing refreshments for the upcoming April exams as well. I will continue to brainstorm new ideas to get young engineers involved and intend on contacting principals of engineering firms statewide to promote interest from the top down as well as work to provide for more involvement with the state college and university engineering students.

3.12 Professional Development – Jay Kunze
1. Activities - The committee (which consisted of David Schiess, Kent Brown, and Nestor Fernandez) has not formally met, though many of the thoughts in this report were relayed to those members (and to Joe Canning) on March 15, 2007.

2. National Status of Continued Professional Development (CPD) requirements for licensing renewal of Professional Engineers:
   - 31 states have adopted requirements
   - The “mode” number of Professional Development Hours (PDH) required for license renewal is 15 PDH per year.
   - Typically one contact hour of instruction on a technical subject is considered one (1) PDH. This can include attendance at professional society technical sessions, both local and national, but must include valid technical subject material. Most states permit managerial training subject material to be counted, as well as in-house (corporate) training courses of appropriate technical content.
   - Participation as an officer or active participation on a committee in a professional society can earn 2 PDH hours (maximum) per year (typically).
   - A few states will consider awarding PDH for self study, but this work has to be clearly documented, and may require taking a short exam.
   - A one semester college credit hour is typically awarded 30 PDH (if the engineer receives a passing grade).
   - Carry over may be permitted by some states, of about the equivalent of one year (15 credits) of PDH into the next license renewal period.
   - Record keeping is the responsibility of the licensee.
   - Auditing - many of the states do not require that the record of PDH be submitted with the license renewal form, but only that the licensee be prepared to submit the documented evidence if requested to do so. The licensee signature on the license renewal form signifies that the CPD requirements have been met.

3. Issues involved in implementation:
   - Some licensees are strongly opposed to the idea of CPD.
   - Employers that require licensed engineers need to be informed on the subject, and their comments obtained. The Idaho State Licensing Board needs to agree to implementation.
   - The Legislature must amend the licensing statute to including the CPD requirements.
   - The Licensing Board needs to determine what sort of action would be taken for those renewals that do not meet the requirement. For instance, should a grace period be allowed?
   - Evidence of a licensee falsifying his/her CPD record would need to be considered in terms of disciplinary action.
ACEC of Idaho has had a busy year already. With the legislature in session, we have responded to a number of issues, but to our knowledge there has been no legislation affecting engineers or the practice of engineering in Idaho.

5.1 ACEC of Idaho - Jim Porter

We sent letters to all members of the Idaho House and Senate Transportation Committee and Joint Finance Appropriations Committee endorsing the GARVEE program as a good step for the State of Idaho in improving our bridges and highways.

Many of our member firms supported the national water infrastructure funding legislation, HR 720 which has passed the house.

We have worked with the Idaho Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors to address requirements in sealing "as constructed" drawings.
We are purchasing equipment for the Idaho Transportation Department to use in training for WAQTC inspector and tester certifications.

We have worked with the Connecting Idaho Partners with their process with procuring consultants to work on GARVEE projects.

We have sponsored a speaking scholarship from our WAQTC revenue for the upcoming ITD 2007 Project Development Conference – Partnering for Transportation Change that will be held April 4 and 5 at the Boise Centre on the Grove.

We have taking over the testing and proctoring of much of the WAQTC program for ITD.

Our member firms have and will continue to support the MATHCOUNTS and Future Cities programs sponsored by ISPE through sponsorships and time donations.

Our member firms are active in the planning of the 2007 ITD Project Development Conference as well as providing sponsorships and heavy attendance to help fund the conference.

Over the last couple of years, we have fostered a positive and mutually beneficial relationship with DEQ that has resulted in numerous agreements as to how to do business in the State of Idaho and with DEQ.

Each year we hold an annual conference focuses on the business of engineering and training. This year’s conference will be held on July 19 and 20 at the Tamarac Resort in Cascade, Idaho.

We celebrated our 50 year anniversary in the past year

We held a fund raiser – Skeet Shoot – with proceeds going to governmental affairs to assist in our efforts to monitor and work with legislative issues. We will be holding a second annual shoot on October 4th.

We increased our donations to the ACEC-PAC for more influence at the national level.

We again raised the visibility of the importance of engineering with our Engineering Excellence Awards

ACEC of Idaho would like to be heavily involved in the nomination and endorsement of candidates for the PEPLS Board. Our members are some of the most heavily affected by changes in the Board and changes in the practice of engineering in the State.

James D. Porter, P.E.
President
ACEC of Idaho
STATEMENT OF PURPOSE: The Idaho Society of Professional Engineers, Northern Chapter, is committed to encourage and assist professional engineers practicing in our area to enhance their performance according to the "Standard of Care" for the profession, in recognition of our primary obligation to protect the safety, health, and welfare of the public.

IMPORTANT REFERENCES:

State of Idaho, Board of PE/PLS Rules of Professional Responsibility IDAPA 10.01.02

Rule 005. RESPONSIBILITY TO THE PUBLIC
01. Primary Obligation. All Registrants and Certificate Holders shall at all times recognize their primary obligation is to protect the safety, health and welfare of the public in the performance of their professional duties.

02. Standard of Care. Each Registrant and Certificate Holder shall perform in accordance with the standard of care for the profession and is under duty to the party for whom the service is to be performed to exercise such care, skill and diligence as others in that profession ordinarily exercise under like circumstances.

Rule 006. COMPETENCY FOR ASSIGNMENTS.
03. Use of Seal On Documents. A Registrant shall affix his signature and seal only to plans or documents prepared under his responsible charge.

NSPE CODE OF ETHICS FOR ENGINEERS:

I. Fundamental Canons

Engineers, in the fulfillment of their professional duties, shall:

1. Hold paramount the safety, health, and welfare of the public.
2. Perform services only in areas of their competence.
3. Issue public statements only in an objective and truthful manner.
4. Act for each employer or client as faithful agents or trustees.
5. Avoid deceptive acts.
6. Conduct themselves honorably, responsibly, ethically, and lawfully so as to enhance the honor, reputation, and usefulness of the profession.
NORTH IDAHO P.E. STANDARD OF CARE ISSUES

STATEMENT OF PROBLEM: Respected City officials for North Idaho served as panel members for ISPE’s “Standard of Care” Roundtable Discussion in Post Falls, ID on November 3rd, 2006. They indicated that there is a small (about 20%) but consistent group of professional engineers in their jurisdictions whose professional services are viewed as below the ordinary Standard of Care.

The participants in this Roundtable were largely agency reviewers of public works and site development projects.

COMMONLY REPORTED STANDARD OF CARE ISSUES

1. Submitting Incomplete/Non-Constructible Plans for Agency Review.

2. Stamping Plans with Insufficient Quality Assurance Review by the P.E.

3. Submitting Plans to Agency Which do Not conform to Agency Standards.

4. P.E. Record Drawing or Construction Certification of Construction Not Observed by the P.E. or Someone Under His Responsible Charge.

5. Multiple Re-submittals to Reviewing Agency With Same Mistakes.

6. Engineers may be Underestimating the Scope and/or Fee to Complete a Project or Task According to the Standard of Care.

STUDY COMMITTEE FORMED
The Northern Chapter of ISPE formed a Committee to study the reported “Standard of Care” issues and develop a position on the “Standard of Care” for all professional engineers. The Committee had representatives from many of the disciplines of professional engineering and it is our Chapter’s belief that the suggested Recommendation for Practice would apply to all disciplines of professional engineering.
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PRACTICE

Engineers who perform outside the expected Standard of Care for the profession:

- May be in violation of the Idaho Rules of Professional Responsibility,
- Are not meeting their primary obligations to protect safety, health and welfare of the public,
- Are a detriment to other professional engineers as they are not "enhancing the honor, reputation, and usefulness of the profession".

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISPE RECOMMENDED PRACTICE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The P.E. stamp on plans submitted for agency review is evidence that the engineer in responsible charge has determined the plans are complete and ready for construction, unless clearly identified as an interim work product.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. A P.E. who stamps plans which contain significant errors and/or omissions has not met his responsibilities to protect the public and perform in accordance with the standard of care of the profession.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. It is the responsibility of a P.E. to perform only in areas of his competence, which includes understanding applicable requirements of the jurisdiction or agency governing the work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. A P.E. must only certify elements of construction, testing, or record drawings which he or someone under his responsible charge has observed as he may only make &quot;statements or reports which are complete, objective and truthful&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. A P.E. who re-submits plans with the same mistakes has not met the &quot;Standard of Care&quot; for the profession, and the reviewing agency is encouraged to reject the submittal or refer the matter to the State Board of Engineers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. A professional engineer must understand the scope of work required for a project or task, communicate this to the client, and propose a level of effort and fee sufficient to allow performance within the &quot;Standard of Care&quot; and to meet his primary obligation to the public. A client has the right to define the scope of work; however, the professional engineer is obligated to meet the &quot;Standard of Care&quot; and protect the safety, health, and welfare of the public.**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note: Committee is still working on this item.